## WORKSHEET 1 SUMMARY SCORE SHEET

Site Name/Location (Street, City, County, Section/Township/Range, TCP ID Number):

Treoil Industries 4242 Aldergrove Road Ferndale WA, 98248 T39N/R01E/S08 Facility Site I.D. 2919

Site assessed/ranked for February 27, 2001 Site Register

Site Description (Include management areas, substances of concern, and quantities): Treoil Industries: A Brief Summary of Events

Treoil Industries, Inc. is located at 4242 Aldergrove Road in Ferndale, WA, and is approximately three miles east of the Strait of Georgia, in an area containing numerous wetlands.

Treoil Industries distilled tall oil, a by-product of pine trees from pulp mills. Tall oil contains various wood components, including pitch, pine oil, fatty acids, wood alcohols, resin acids and wood breakdown by-products. Four distillation fractions were pulled off the columns at various stages, and cooled as final products. Steam, the final substrate remaining, was condensed, treated using an oil/water separator and filtration, and discharged off the property via a settlement sump. The four fractions were cooled using a non-contact cooling water system (or air), and the cooling towers were drained into the settlement sump and ditch system quarterly. This cooling water reportedly contained biocides (Department of Ecology NWRO Inspection Report, 12/10/91). Boiler blowdown resulted in a third waste stream, and this stream contained unidentified descaling compounds.

Treoil experienced a spill event in October of 1991, reported to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) by an employee of ARCO, which consisted of pine oil travelling a distance of approximately .7 miles in a ditch along Aldergrove Road (southern boundary of ARCO) and 100 yards south along Gulf Road. This spill resulted in drop-in inspections by Ecology's Northwest Regional Office and a penalty for failure to report by Treoil. The inspection reports noted oil contamination of soils, oil in the settlement ditch and collection sump, drums located around the property, emulsion agents spilled onto the soil, and overall poor housekeeping. The site was added to Ecology's Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List May 9, 1994, for confirmed contamination of soil by petroleum products.

Mindy Miller of Whatcom County Health & Human Services (WCHHS) and Michael Spencer of Ecology visited Treoil on March 2, 2000, as part of a site hazard assessment (SHA)under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). The site was fenced and gated on the southern side of the property (entrance), and no one was present. The property appeared abandoned, and since no responses to notices regarding the SHA had been received, the property was accessed from the northern unfenced portion. Noted at the site were numerous 55 gallon drums in the blackberry bushes north of the fence and along the railway ditch; approximately 200 drums, some full and bulging surrounded a crane on site, with soil covered with oozing and semi solid rosin like material; numerous fabric totes containing similar rosin material north of the fence line; black sand blast grit on the ground on the east side of the containment area; and suspicious looking yellow-orange crumbly pipe insulation on the ground, which was suspected to contain asbestos. Michael Spencer and

Mindy Miller left the site, called local representatives of Emergency Management and Labor & Industries to report findings, and refered the site to Ecology's Spill Response Team.

Norm Peck of Ecology's Northwest Regional Office visited the site, with Mindy Miller of WCHHS, on March 7, 2000(see attached draft report "Inspection Summary for the Treoil Industries, Ltd. Site, Ferndale, WA", for a summary of findings). Mr. Peck listed several potential hazards including possible contribution by wastes at Treoil to elevated herring/herring egg mortality in intertidal and subtidal areas near the site. He recommended emergency interim actions for the site, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 and their contracted company, Ecology and the Environment responded.

EPA and Ecology and the Environment conducted a site visit and sampling at Treoil on June 6, 2000. Their observations and conclusions are summarized in the attached letter (9/14/00) to Mr. Gill from EPA's On-Scene Coordinator, Jeffry Rodin. Contamination confirmed in soil included TPH in the heavy oils and diesel range, PAHs, and metals (lead). Though some cleanup efforts have begun at the site, no final report has been received and the property will be scored and ranked under MTCA, using the Washington Ranking Method (WARM).

Special Considerations (Include limitations in site file data or data which cannot be accommodated in the model, but which are important in evaluating the risk associated with the site, or any other factor(s) over-riding a decision of no further action for the site):

#### ROUTE SCORES:

Surface Water/Human Health: 18.9 Surface Water/Environ.: 49.3

Air/Human Health: 4.2 Air/Environmental: 26.6

Ground Water/Human Health: 33.1 OVERALL RANK:2

## WORKSHEET 2 ROUTE DOCUMENTATION

#### 1. SURFACE WATER ROUTE

List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring:

Contaminants found in soil include PAHs, lead and TPH diesel.

Semi-solid resin in surface water caused fish mortality in a 96-hour Static Fish Bioassay Test, though specific chemicals are undetermined.

Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Source: 1 Confirmed contaminants will be used in scoring, including PAHs, TPH and lead found in soil.

List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1,2
Spills, contaminated soils.

Explain basis for choice of unit to be <u>used</u> in scoring. Soils found to contain levels of PAHs, lead and TPH above MTCA Source: 1,2 Cleanup levels.

#### 2. AIR ROUTE

List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring:

Contaminants found in soil include PAHs, lead and TPH diesel.

Semi-solid resin in surface water caused fish mortality in a 96-hour Static Fish Bioassay Test, though specific chemicals are undetermined.

Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be  $\underline{used}$  in scoring. Source:  $\underline{1}$  Confirmed contaminants will be used in scoring, including PAHs, TPH and lead found in soil.

List those management units to be <u>considered</u> for scoring: Source: 1,2 Spills, contaminated soils.

Explain basis for choice of unit to be  $\underline{used}$  in scoring. Source:  $\underline{1,2}$  Soils found to contain levels of PAHs, lead and TPH above MTCA Cleanup levels.

#### 3. GROUND WATER ROUTE

List those substances to be <u>considered</u> for scoring:

Contaminants found in soil include PAHs, lead and TPH diesel.

Semi-solid resin in surface water caused fish mortality in a

96-hour Static Fish Bioassay Test, though specific chemicals are undetermined.

Explain basis for choice of substance(s) to be  $\underline{used}$  in scoring. Source:  $\underline{1}$  Confirmed contaminants will be used in scoring, including PAHs, TPH and lead found in soil.

List those management units to be  $\underline{\text{considered}}$  for scoring: Spills, contaminated soils.

Source: 1,2

Explain basis for choice of unit to be  $\underline{used}$  in scoring. Soils found to contain levels of PAHs, lead and TPH above MTCA Cleanup levels.

Source: 1,2

### WORKSHEET 4 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

## 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

## 1.1 Human Toxicity

Substance (	Wate	dard	Acute Toxicity (mg/kg-bw)	Val.	Chronic Toxicity (mg/kg/day	7707	Carci genic		77-J
<u>dubscance</u> (	<u>ug/1/</u>	<u>val.</u>	(mg/kg-bw)	<u>val.</u>	/mg/kg/day	<u>val.</u>	WOE_	<u>Pr</u>	<u>Val.</u>
1. TPH-Diesel	20	6	490(rat)	5	0.004	3	x	x	x
2. Anthracene	-	-	-		0.3	1	x	x	x
3. Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	10	-	-	-	-	0.8	9	7
4. Benzo(a)pyrene	0.2	10	50(rat)	10	-	-	0.8	9	7
5. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.2	10	-	-	_	-	0.8	9	7
6. Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	-	-	_	-		-	x	x	x
7. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.2	10	, <del>-</del>	-	-	-	0.8	9	7
8. Chrysene	0.2	10	-	-	-	-	0.8	9	7
9. Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.2	10	_	-	-	-	0.8	9	7
10.Fluoranthene	-	-	2000(rat)	3	0.04	1	x	x	x
11. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2	10	_	-	-	-	0.8	x	x
12.Phenanthrene	0.2	10	_	-	-	-	x	x	x
13.Pyrene	0.2	10	2700(rat)	3	0.03	1	x	x	x
14.Lead	5	8	-	-	_	-	0.8	x	x

\*Potency Factor

Source: <u>3,4</u> Highest Value: 10

+2 Bonus Points?\_2

Final Toxicity Value: 12

### 1.2 Environmental Toxicity

	(x) Freshwa	ter						
	( ) Marine							
	Acute Water		Non-human Mammalian					
	Quality Cri	teria	Acute Toxicity					
<u>Substance</u>	(ug/l)	<u>Value</u>	<u>(mg/kg)</u>	<u>Value</u>	Source: 3,4	Value: (Max.=10)	10_	
1. TPH-Diesel	2300	2	490(rat)	5				
2. Anthracene	- '	-	_	_				
3. Benzo(a)anthracene	-	_	_					
4. Benzo(a)pyrene	-	-	50(rat)	10				
5. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	-	-	-	-				
6. Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	-	-	-	-				
7. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	-	-	-	-				
8. Chrysene	-	-	-	_				
9. Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	-	-	-	-				
10.Fluoranthene	3980	2	• -	-				
11.Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	-	-	-	-				
12.Phenanthrene	-	-	-	-				
13.Pyrene	-	-	2700(rat)	3				
14.Lead	82	6		~				

# WORKSHEET 4 (CONTINUED) SURFACE WATER ROUTE

1.3	Substance Quantity: unknown, use default = 1.	Source:3	Value: 1 (Max.=10)
Exp	plain basis:		
2.0	MIGRATION POTENTIAL		
2.1	Containment: no runon/runoff control  Explain basis:	Source: 3	_ Value:10 (Max.=10)
2.2	Surface Soil Permeability: very deep, poor-mod.drained	Source: 7	Value: 3 (Max.=7)
2.3	Total Annual Precipitation: 41.7"	Source: 5	_ Value:3
2.4	Max. 2-Yr/24-hour Precipitation: 3.5"	Source: 3	Value: 3 (Max.=5)
2.5	Flood Plain: not in a flood plain	Source: 10	Value: 0 (Max.=2)
2.6	Terrain Slope: <2%	Source: 7	Value: 0 (Max.=5)
3.0	TARGETS		
3.1	Distance to Surface Water: <1000'	Source: 2	Value: 10 (Max.=10)
3.2	Population Served within 2 miles (See WARM Scoring Manual Regarding Direction): <pre>pop.= 0 = 0</pre>	Source: 9	_Value:_0
3.3	Area Irrigated within 2 miles $0.75$ no. acres= (Refer to note in 3.2.): $0.75 \sqrt{0} = 0$	Source: 9	Value: 0 (Max.=30)
3.4	Distance to Nearest Fishery Resource: >5000'-10,000'	Source: 10	Value: 3 (Max.=12)
3.5	Distance to, and Name(s) of, Nearest Sensitive Environment(s) <pre> &lt;1000' to wetland</pre>	Source: 11	Value: 12 (Max.=12)
4.0	RELEASE Explain basis for scoring a release to surface	Source: 3	Value: 0 (Max.=5)

## WORKSHEET 5 AIR ROUTE

### 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Introduction (WARM Scoring Manual) - Please review before scoring

#### 1.2 Human Toxicity

	Air Standa	ard	Acut Toxi	e city	Chronic Toxici	_		arcino enicit	
Substance	<u>(ug/m³</u>	)Val.	$(mg/m^3)$	<u>Val.</u>	(mg/kg/day)	<u>Val.</u>	WOE	PF*	<u>Val.</u>
1. TPH-Diesel	166.5	4	<b>.</b>	_	0.004	3	_	_	-
2. Anthracene	-	-	_	-	0.3	1	-	-	-
3. Benzo(a)anthracene	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-
4. Benzo(a)pyrene	0.0006	10	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	0.8	-	-
5. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	-	-	_	-	_	-	0.8	-	_
6. Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_
7. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	-	-	-	-	-	_	0.8	-	-
8. Chrysene	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	
9. Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-
10.Fluoranthene	-	-	_	-	0.04	1	-	-	_
11.Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	-	-	***		-		-	-	-
12.Phenanthrene	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_
13.Pyrene	-	-	170r	at 8	0.03	1	-	-	-
14.Lead	0.5	10	-	-	-	-	-	_	-

Source: <u>3, 4</u>

\*Potency Factor

Highest

Value: 10 (Max.=10)

+2 Bonus Points?

\_\_\_2\_

Final Toxicity Value:

12

1.3 Mobility (Use numbers to refer to above listed substances)

1.3.1 Gaseous Mobility

Vapor Pressure(s) (mmHg): 1)=8.2E-02=3,2)=2.0E-04=2,3)=2.2E-08=1, 4)=5.6E-09=1,5)=5.0E-07=1,6)=1.0E-10=1,7)=5.1E-07=1,8)=6.3E-09=1, 9)=1.0E-10=1,10)=5.0E-06=1,11)=1.0E-10=1

9)=1.0E-10=1,10)=5.0E-06=1,11)=1.0E-10=1, 12)=6.8E-04=2,13)=2.5E-06=1,14)=0

Source: 3,4 Value: 3

(Max.=4)

1.3.2 Particulate Mobility -

Soil type: silty loam

Source: 3 Value: 1

(Max.=4)

Erodibility: 47

Climatic Factor: 1-10

1.4 Highest Human Health Toxicity/Mobility Matrix Value

(from Table A-7) equals Final Matrix,

gaseous mobility=6,particulate mobility=6

Value: 6 (Max.=24)

# WORKSHEET 5 (CONTINUED) AIR ROUTE

Source: 3,4

1.5 Environmental Toxicity/Mobility

		Non-human Ma	ammalian Ac	ute				(Table A	7)
Subs	tance	Inhal. Toxic	ity $(mq/m^3)$	<u>Value</u>	Mobility	(mmHq)	<u>Value</u>	Matrix '	<u>Value</u>
1. TP	H-Diesel			_	8.2 E -02		3	_	
2. Ar	nthracene	-		-	2.4 E -04		2	-	
3. Be	enzo(a)anthracene	-		-	2.2 E -08		1	-	
4. Be	enzo(a)pyrene	-		-	5.6 E -09		1	-	
5. Be	enzo(b)fluoranthene	- '		-	5.0 E -07		1	-	
6. Be	enzo(g.h.i)perylene	-		_	1.0 E -10		1	-	
7. Be	enzo(k)fluoranthene	-		-	5.1 E -07		1	-	
8. Ch	nrysene			-	6.3 E -09		1	-	
9. Di	benzo(a,h)anthracene	-		-	1.0 E -10		1	-	
10.F	Luoranthene	-		-	5.0 E -06		1	_	
11.Ir	ndeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	-		-	1.0 E -10		1	_	
12.Ph	nenanthrene	-		-	6.8 E -04		2	-	
13.Py	yrene	170rat		8	2.5 E -06		1	4	
14.Le	ead	=		-	0.0 E +00		3	_	
		100, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
Hi	ghest Environmental	Toxicity/Mob	ility Matri	x Valu	ıe				
			m Table A-7			Matrix '	Value:	`4	
		(		, - 1				(Max.=24)	
	·								
			<b>5</b> .		_	_			_
1.6	Substance Quantity	: <u>Unknown def</u>	ault = 1		So	urce: <u>3</u>		Value (Max.=10	:_1_
	Explain basis:								
								(Max.=IU	)
2.0								(Max.=10	)
	MIGRATION POTENTIA	ь						(Max.=10	)
	MIGRATION POTENTIA	L						(max.=10	)
2.1			apor collec	tion	So	urce:	2, 3	."	) e: 10
2.1	MIGRATION POTENTIAL Containment: surfa		apor collec	tion	So	urce:	2, 3	."	: <u>10</u>
2.1			apor collec	tion_	So	urce:	2, 3	Value	: <u>10</u>
	Containment: surfa		apor collec	tion	So	urce:	2, 3	Value	: <u>10</u>
2.1			apor collec	tion	So	urce:	2, 3	Value	: <u>10</u>
3.0	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v						Value (Max.=10	:: <u>10</u>
	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v				urce:		Value (Max.=10 Value	e: <u>10</u>
3.0	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v						Value (Max.=10	e: <u>10</u>
3.0	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v	(residenc	e)				Value (Max.=10 Value	e: <u>10</u>
3.0 3.1	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne	<u>(residenc</u> arest Sensi	e)	Sc	urce:	2	Value (Max.=10 Value (Max.=10	e: 10
3.0 3.1	Containment: surfa	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne	(residenc	e)	Sc		2	Value (Max.=10 Value	e: 10
3.0 3.1 3.2	Containment: surfate  TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N  Environment(s)	ce spill no v	(residenc arest Sensi 000ft	tive	Sc	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10 Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1	Containment: surface  TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3	tive 4 = 9	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po e-quarter of	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil	tive 4 = 9 e popu	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10 Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	Containment: surface  TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po e-quarter of	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil	tive 4 = 9 e popu	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po e-quarter of	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil	tive 4 = 9 e popu	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po e-quarter of	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil	tive 4 = 9 e popu	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on determined from the	ce spill no v : >1000-2000' ame(s) of, Ne wetland <1 0.5 miles: po e-quarter of	(residence arest Sensi 000ft p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil	tive 4 = 9 e popu	Sc S	urce: ource:_	2	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on determined from the RELEASE	ce spill no v	(residence arest Sensi 000ft  p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil TEINFO data	tive 4 = 9 e popu base)	So S So lation	urce: ource:_ urce:	2 11 8	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10  Value  Value	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7) 2: 3 3:=75)
3.0 3.1 3.2	TARGETS  Nearest Population  Distance to, and N Environment(s)  Population within (Note: am using on determined from the	ce spill no v	(residence arest Sensi 000ft  p.= (.25) 3 the 0-1 mil TEINFO data	tive 4 = 9 e popu base)	So S So lation	urce: ource:_	2 11 8	Value (Max.=10  Value (Max.=10  Value  Value	2: 10 2: 8 3: 7 (Max.=7) 2: 3 =75)

# WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

### 1.0 SUBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS

### 1.1 Human Toxicity

2.0 MIGRATION POTENTIAL

Explain basis spill

No liner = ; low permeability cover = ; No

leachate collection system = ; possible free liquids =

2.1 Containment

	Drin Wate Stan	_	Acute Toxicit	-y	Chronic Toxicity		Carci geni	no- city	
<u>Substance</u>	(ug/	<u>l) Val.</u>	(mg/kg-bw)	<u>Val.</u>	(mg/kg/day)	<u>Val.</u>	WOE	PF*	<u>Val.</u>
1. TPH-Diesel	20	6	490(rat)	5	0.004	3	х	x	x
2. Anthracene	-	-	-	-	0.3	1	x	x	x
3. Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	10	-	-	-		0.8	9	7
4. Benzo(a)pyrene	0.2	10	50(rat)	10	-	-	0.8	9	7
5. Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.2	10	-	-	_	-	0.8	9	7
5. Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	-	-	-	-	_	-	x	x	x
7. Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.2	10	-	-	_	_	0.8	9	7
8. Chrysene	0.2	10	-	-	-	_	0.8	9	7
9. Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.2	10	_	-	_	_	0.8	9	7
10.Fluoranthene	_	-	2000(rat)	3	0.04	1	x	x	x
11.Indeno(1/2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2	10	_	-	_	-	0.8	x	x
12.Phenanthrene	0.2	10	_	-	_	_	x	x	x
13.Pyrene	0.2	10	2700(rat)	3	0.03	1	x	x	x
14.Lead	5	8	_	_	_	_	0.8	х	x
*Potency Factor					Highest		: 3, : 10 (Max.=10)		
					+2 Bonus P	oints?	2		
					Final To	xicity	v Value		c.=12)
1.2 Mobility (Use numb Cations/Anions: 14			to above ]			rce:	3		lue:
OR Solubility(mg/l):_ 9)=0, 10)=0, 11)=				, 5)=0	, 6)=0, 7)=0	, 8)=(	<u>),</u>	(Ma)	=3 <i>)</i>
1.3 Substance Quantity Explain basis:	·:	Unknow	n = 1		Sou	rce:	3		lue:

Source: 3

Value: 10

# WORKSHEET 6 (CONTINUED) GROUND WATER ROUTE

2.2	Net Precipitation: 28.4-5.2=23.2 inches	Source: 5	Value: 3 (Max.=5)
2.3	Subsurface Hydraulic Conductivity: moderate drainage	Source:3	Value: 2
2.4	Vertical Depth to Ground Water: 25-50	Source: <u>3,6</u>	Value: 6 (Max.=8)
3.0	TARGETS		
3.1	Ground Water Usage:public/private	Source: 3	Value: 4 (Max.=10)
3.2	Dist. to Nearest Drinking Water Well: >2640-5000	Source: 3,6	Value: 2 (Max.=5)
3.3	Population Served within 2 Miles: 480	Source: <u>3,6</u>	Value: 22 (Max.=100)
3.4	Area Irrigated by (Groundwater) Wells within 2 miles: $0.75\sqrt{\text{no.acres}} = 0.75\sqrt{35} = 0.75$ () =4.44	Source: 3,6,9	Value: 4 (Max.=50)
4.0	RELEASE Explain basis for scoring a release to ground water:	Source: <u>3</u> _	Value: 0

#### SOURCES USED IN SCORING

- 1. Analytical Results, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 June 6, 2000 Investigation (summarized in letter to Mr. Gill, 9/14/00).
- 2. Relevant Site History/Investigations/Whatcom County Health & Human Services File.
- 3. Washington State Department of Ecology, WARM Scoring Manual, April 1992
- 4. Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxicology Database for Use in Washington Ranking Method Scoring, January 1992.
- 5. Washington State University Cooperative Extension Service, Washington Climate.
- 6. Well Log, Whatcom County Health & Human Services File.
- 7. Soil Survey of Whatcom County Area, Washington, United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (1985).
- 8. U.S. EPA SITEINFO GIS Query for site.
- 9. Water Rights Application Tracking System, NWRO Ecology, List of Wells in Surrounding Area.
- 10. Whatcom County Planning & Development (map), <u>CAO Articles III & IV (Geohaz. & Flooding)</u> T39N R1E, 6/1/98.
- 10. Whatcom County Planning & Development (map), Fish Habitat, 3/1/99.
- 11. Whatcom County Planning & Development (map), <u>CAO Articles V & VI (Aquifer & Wetland)</u>
  T39N R1E, 6/1/98.

## INGTON RANKING METHOD SCORING PACKAGE

. Press F9 to calculate scores.

### WORKSHEET 4 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

SURFACE WATER ROUTE			
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
==========	=====	=====	=====
UBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS			
Human Health Toxicity	12	0	0
<b>Environmental Toxicity</b>	10	0	0
Substance Quantity	1	0	0
Containment	10	. 0	0
MIGRATION			
Soil Permeability	3	0	0
Annual Precipitation	3	. 0	0
2-yr/24-hour Precip.	3	0	0
Flood Plain	0	. 0	0
Terrain Slope	0	0	0
TARGETS			
Distance to Surf. Water	10	0	0
Population Served	0	0	0
Area Irrigated	0	0	0
Distance to Fisheries	3	0	0
Sensitive Environment	12	0	0
RELEASE	0	0	0
=======================================	=====	=====	=====
SW HH ROUTE SCORE	18.9	0.0	0.0
SW Env. ROUTE SCORE	49.3	0.0	0.0
=======================================	=====	=====	=====
	=====	=====	=====

WORKSHEET 5 AIR ROUTE			
=======================================	=====	=====	=====
UBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS			
HH Tox/Mobility	6 2		0
Env Tox/Mobility	4	0	0
Substance Quantity	. 1	0	0
Containment	10	0	0
TARGETS			
Nearest Population	8	0	0
Sensitive Environment	7	0	0
Population within 1/2 mi	3	0	0
RELEASE	0	0	0
	•		· ·
==========	=====	=====	=====
AIR HH ROUTE SCORE	4.2	0.0	0.0
AIR ENV. ROUTE SCORE	26.6	0.0	0.0
=======================================	=====	=====	=====

## WORKSHEET 6 GROUND WATER ROUTE

UBSTANCE CHARACTERISTICS	=====	=====	=====
Toxicity Mobility Substance Quantity Containment	12 2 1 10	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
MIGRATION			
Net Precipitation Hydraulic Conductivity Depth to Ground Water	3 2 6	0 0 0	0 0 0
TARGETS			
Aquifer Usage Nearest Well Distance Population Served Area Irrigated	4 2 22 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
RELEASE	0	0	0
GW ROUTE SCORE	===== 33.1 ======	===== 0.0 ======	0.0

SCORE SUMMARY	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
	======	=====	=====
Surface Water Human Health	18.9	0.0	0.0
Air Human Health	4.2	0.0	0.0
Ground Water Human Health	33.1	0.0	0.0
Surface Water Environment	49.3	0.0	0.0
Air Environment	26.6	0.0	0.0